

The profinite completion of the integers, the p -adic integers, and Prüfer p -groups

Jordan Bell

December 3, 2017

1 Topological rings and inverse systems

By a **topological ring** we mean a ring X with a Hausdorff topology such that $(x, y) \mapsto x + y, x \mapsto -x, (x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$ are continuous. A **morphism** of topological rings is a continuous homomorphism of rings. An **inverse system** of topological rings is a family of topological rings X_i and a family of morphisms $\pi_{i,j} : X_i \rightarrow X_j$ for $i, j \in I$ with $i \geq j$, such that when $i \geq j \geq k$,

$$\pi_{i,k} = \pi_{j,k} \circ \pi_{i,j}.$$

If Y is a topological ring, we say that a family of morphisms $\psi_i : Y \rightarrow X_i$ is **compatible** with the inverse system if, whenever $i \geq j$,

$$\pi_{i,j} \circ \psi_i = \psi_j.$$

A topological ring X and a compatible family of morphisms $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ is said to be an **inverse limit** of the inverse system if whenever Y is a topological ring and $\psi_i : Y \rightarrow X_i$ is a compatible family of morphisms, there is a unique morphism $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that for all i ,

$$\pi_i \circ \psi = \psi_i.$$

If $(X, \pi_i), (Y, \psi_i)$ are inverse limits of an inverse system, one checks that there is a unique isomorphism $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\psi_i \circ \psi = \pi_i$ for all i .¹ If at least one inverse limit exists for an inverse system, we permit ourselves to speak about **the** inverse limit of the inverse system.

For showing that the inverse limit of an inverse system exists and for establishing properties of the inverse limit, rather than stating that it is an object satisfying a universal property we can construct it in the following way. Let X be those $x \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ such that for $i \geq j$,

$$\pi_{i,j}(x_i) = x_j.$$

¹Luis Ribes and Pavel Zalesskii, *Profinite Groups*, second ed., Chapter 1, “Inverse and Direct Limits”, p. 2, Proposition 1.1.1 (b).

It is straightforward to check that X is a subring of $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ and that with the subspace topology inherited from the direct product it is a topological ring. We define $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ by $\pi_i = p_i \circ \iota$, where $\iota : X \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ is the inclusion map and $p_i : \prod_{j \in I} X_j \rightarrow X_i$ is the projection map. One checks that the morphisms π_i are compatible with the inverse system, and then that X together with this family of morphisms is an inverse limit of the inverse system.² This establishes that the inverse system has an inverse limit. Furthermore, one proves that X is a closed subset of $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$.³ This lets us deduce properties of the inverse limit from weakly hereditary properties of the direct product.

2 Profinite rings

A **profinite ring** is a topological ring that is the inverse limit of an inverse system of finite topological rings; since we demand that topological rings be Hausdorff, being finite implies having the discrete topology. Suppose that X_i with morphisms $\pi_{i,j}$, $i \geq j$, $i, j \in I$, are an inverse system of finite topological rings. Because X_i is finite it is compact, so the direct product $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ is compact. As the inverse limit X of this inverse system is a closed subset of the direct product, X is a compact topological space.

A topological space is called **totally disconnected** if a subset being connected implies that the subset contains at most one point. In other words, a topological space is totally disconnected if its connected components are all the singletons. One checks that a discrete topological space is totally disconnected, and that a product of totally disconnected spaces is totally disconnected, and that being totally disconnected is hereditary.⁴ Therefore, a profinite ring is compact and totally disconnected.⁵

3 Profinite completion of the integers

With the discrete topology, \mathbb{Z}/n is a topological ring. For $m|n$, we take $\phi_{n,m} : \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m$ to be the projection map. The topological rings \mathbb{Z}/n and the morphisms $\phi_{n,m}$ are an inverse system in the category of topological rings (ordering the indices by $n \geq m$ when $m|n$), and we denote the inverse limit by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, with morphisms $\phi_n : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n$ satisfying

$$\phi_{n,m} \circ \phi_n = \phi_m, \quad m|n,$$

called the **profinite completion of \mathbb{Z}** . $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a profinite ring, hence it is compact and totally disconnected, and because the inverse system consists of countably

²Luis Ribes and Pavel Zalesskii, *Profinite Groups*, second ed., Chapter 1, “Inverse and Direct Limits”, p. 2, Proposition 1.1.1 (a).

³Luis Ribes and Pavel Zalesskii, *Profinite Groups*, second ed., Chapter 1, “Inverse and Direct Limits”, p. 3, Lemma 1.1.2.

⁴Stephen Willard, *General Topology*, p. 210, §29.

⁵In fact, a totally disconnected compact group must be an inverse limit of finite discrete groups: Markus Stroppel, *Locally Compact Groups*, p. 172.

many metrizable limitands, the direct product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/n$ and thus the inverse limit is metrizable.

Let $\psi_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n$ be the projection map. For $m|n$,

$$\phi_{n,m} \circ \psi_n = \psi_m.$$

Namely, the morphisms ψ_n are compatible with the inverse system. For example,

$$\phi_{15,3} \circ \psi_{15}(22) = \phi_{15,3}(7 + (15)) = 1 + (3) = \psi_3(22).$$

Hence there is a unique morphism $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\phi_n \circ \psi = \psi_n.$$

If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \neq b$, there is some n such that $a \not\equiv b \pmod{n}$, that is, $\psi_n(a) \neq \psi_n(b)$. It must then be that $\psi(a) \neq \psi(b)$. Therefore, ψ is one-to-one.

Because $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is compact and metrizable it is separable. We prove that the image of \mathbb{Z} in its profinite completion is dense, which explicitly displays a countable dense subset.⁶

Theorem 1. $\psi(\mathbb{Z})$ is a dense subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof. Let U be a nonempty subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ has the subspace topology inherited from the direct product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/n$, so there are open sets V_n in \mathbb{Z}/n , where there are only finitely many n such that $V_n \neq \mathbb{Z}/n$, such that for $V = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$, the set $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cap V$ is nonempty and is contained in U . To prove that $\psi(\mathbb{Z})$ is dense in $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ it will suffice to prove that there is some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\psi(a) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cap V \subset U$.

Take n_0 such that for $n > n_0$, $V_n = \mathbb{Z}/n$. (In this proof by \geq we mean the usual order on the positive integers, not $n \geq m$ when $m|n$.) Because $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cap V$ is nonempty, there is some $x \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cap V$. Let $N = \text{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, n_0)$ and let $a \in \psi_N^{-1}(\phi_N(x)) \subset \mathbb{Z}$. For $1 \leq n \leq n_0$, $n|N$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(\psi(a)) &= (\phi_{N,n} \circ \phi_N)(\psi(a)) \\ &= (\phi_{N,n} \circ \phi_N \circ \psi)(a) \\ &= (\phi_{N,n} \circ \psi_N)(a) \\ &= \phi_{N,n}(\psi_N(a)) \\ &= \phi_{N,n}(\phi_N(x)) \\ &= (\phi_{N,n} \circ \phi_N)(x) \\ &= \phi_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\phi_n(\psi(a)) \in V_n$, and so $\psi(a) \in V$. $\psi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ so $\psi(a) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Therefore, $\psi(a) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cap V$, which proves the claim. \square

⁶Brian Osserman, *Inverse limits and profinite groups*, <https://www.math.ucdavis.edu/~osserman/classes/250C/notes/profinite.pdf>

4 p -adic integers

Let p be a prime. \mathbb{Z}/p^n with the discrete topology is a topological ring. For $n \geq m$, let $\pi_{n,m} : \mathbb{Z}/p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^m$ be the projection map. For example, with $p = 3$,

$$\pi_{3,2}(15 + (3^3)) = 6 + (3^2).$$

The topological rings \mathbb{Z}/p^n and the morphisms $\pi_{n,m}$ are an inverse system in the category of topological rings. The inverse limit of this inverse system is a topological ring denoted by \mathbb{Z}_p , together with morphisms $\pi_n : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ such that

$$\pi_{n,m} \circ \pi_n = \pi_m$$

for $n \geq m$. We call \mathbb{Z}_p the **ring of p -adic integers**. It is compact and totally disconnected. Furthermore, because each limitand \mathbb{Z}/p^n is metrizable by the discrete metric, the countable direct product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ is metrizable, and therefore so is \mathbb{Z}_p .

Let $\chi_n : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ be the projection maps. For $n \geq m$,

$$\pi_{n,m} \circ \chi_n = \chi_m.$$

Namely, the morphisms χ_n are compatible with the inverse system, and therefore there is a unique morphism $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\pi_n \circ \chi = \chi_n.$$

If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \neq b$, there is some n such that $a \not\equiv b \pmod{p^n}$, so that $\chi_n(a) \neq \chi_n(b)$, whence $\chi(a) \neq \chi(b)$. This shows that $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is one-to-one. Furthermore, like how the image of \mathbb{Z} in $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is dense, the image of \mathbb{Z} in \mathbb{Z}_p is dense.

Theorem 2. $\chi(\mathbb{Z})$ is a dense subset of \mathbb{Z}_p .

5 The Chinese remainder theorem

For n a positive integer, let $v_p(n)$ denote the highest power of the prime p that divides n . For example, $v_3(45) = 2$ and $v_3(11) = 0$. The **Chinese remainder theorem** states that

$$\mathbb{Z}/n \cong \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p^{v_p(n)}.$$

Then, supposing that the following steps are correct,⁷

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}/n \cong \varprojlim_n \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p^{v_p(n)} \cong \prod_p \varprojlim_{\nu} \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu} \cong \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p$$

as topological rings.

⁷See Paul Garrett, *The ur -solenoid and the adèles*, http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/mfms/notes/04_ur_solenoid.pdf

6 Direct systems

A **direct system** of abelian groups is a family of abelian groups A_i and a family of group homomorphisms $\phi_{i,j} : A_i \rightarrow A_j$ for $i, j \in I$ with $i \leq j$, such that when $i \leq j \leq k$,

$$\phi_{i,k} = \phi_{j,k} \circ \phi_{i,j}.$$

If A is an abelian group, we say that a family of group homomorphisms $\psi_i : A_i \rightarrow A$ is **compatible** with the direct system if, whenever $i \leq j$,

$$\psi_j \circ \phi_{i,j} = \psi_i.$$

An abelian group A and a compatible family of group homomorphisms $\phi_i : A_i \rightarrow A$ is said to be a **direct limit** of the direct system if whenever B is an abelian group and $\psi_i : A_i \rightarrow B$ is a compatible family of group homomorphisms, there is a unique group homomorphism $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ such that for all i ,

$$\psi \circ \phi_i = \psi_i.$$

It can be proved that a direct system of abelian groups has a direct limit, and that if $(A, \phi_i), (B, \psi_i)$ are direct limits of a direct system, then there is a unique group isomorphism $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi_i = \psi \circ \phi_i$ for all i .⁸ We permit ourselves to speak about **the** direct limit of the direct system.

7 Pontryagin duality

A **morphism** of a locally compact abelian group is a continuous group homomorphism. Let $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. The **Pontryagin dual** of a locally compact abelian group G is the collection of morphisms $G \rightarrow S^1$, where we define $\phi_1\phi_2$ by $(\phi_1\phi_2)(x) = \phi_1(x)\phi_2(x)$.

It is a fact that if G_i is an inverse system of compact abelian groups with surjective morphisms $G_i \rightarrow G_j$ for $i \geq j$, then the Pontryagin dual of the inverse limit is isomorphic to the direct limit of the Pontryagin duals of the G_i , and that the direct limit is equal to the union of the images of the Pontryagin duals.⁹

The Pontryagin dual of the compact abelian group \mathbb{Z}/N is isomorphic to the discrete abelian group \mathbb{Z}/N . (The discrete topology on a finite abelian group is compact.) The dual of the inverse system of projections $\pi_{n,m} : \mathbb{Z}/p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^m$, $n \geq m$, is the direct system of inclusion maps $i_{m,n} : \mathbb{Z}/p^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n$, $m \leq n$, and the direct limit of this direct system is a discrete abelian group denoted by $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$, called the **Prüfer p -group**, with morphisms $i_n : \mathbb{Z}/p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ and which satisfies

$$\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} i_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^n).$$

⁸Luis Ribes and Pavel Zalesskii, *Profinite Groups*, second ed., Chapter 1, “Inverse and Direct Limits”, p. 15, Proposition 1.2.1.

⁹Karl H. Hofmann and Sidney A. Morris, *The Structure of Compact Groups*, 2nd revised and augmented edition, p. 24, Proposition 1.36.

8 Solenoids

For $n \geq 0$, let $\pi_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ be the projection map, and give $\mathbb{R}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ the final topology induced by this map, with which $\mathbb{R}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ is a compact abelian group. For $n \geq m$, let

$$\varphi_{n,m} : \mathbb{R}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$$

be the projection map. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}} & \mathbb{R} \\ \pi_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_m \\ \mathbb{R}/p^n\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n,m}} & \mathbb{R}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

It is immediate that the compact abelian groups \mathbb{R}/p^n and the morphisms $\varphi_{n,m}$, $n \geq m$, are an inverse system. We call the inverse limit of this system the **p -adic solenoid**, denoted \mathbb{T}_p , with morphisms $\varphi_n : \mathbb{T}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$.¹⁰ \mathbb{T}_p is a compact abelian group.

One proves that each morphism $\varphi_n : \mathbb{T}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ is onto. We now relate the p -adic solenoid to the p -adic integers.¹¹ $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$ implies that $\mathbb{Z}/p^n = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. We model \mathbb{Z}_p as a subset of the direct product $\prod \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ and model \mathbb{T}_p as a subset of the direct product $\prod \mathbb{R}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$, and thus the statement of the following theorem makes sense.

Theorem 3. $\ker \varphi_n = p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$.

¹⁰There are few books that present the p -adic solenoid. Two are Alain M. Robert, *A Course in p -adic Analysis*, p. 54, Appendix to Chapter 1, and Karl A. Hofmann and Sidney A. Morris, *The Lie Theory of Connected Pro-Lie Groups*, p. 589, Example 14.4.

¹¹Alain M. Robert, *A Course in p -adic Analysis*, p. 55, Appendix A.1.